## For the Northern District of California

28

1		
2		
3	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
4	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
5	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
6 7	ROYLENE RAY and KELLY CANNON, individually and on behalf of other similarly situated,  No. C 06-01807 JSW	
8	Plaintiffs,	
9	v. ORDER REGARDING	
10	BLUEHIPPO FUNDING, LLC, CLARIFICATION OF STAY ORDER	
11	Defendant.	
12	/	
13	Now before the Court is Defendant BlueHippo Funding, LLC's and Plaintiffs Roylene	<u> </u>
14	Ray and Kelly Cannon's joint request for clarification of this Court's July 6, 2006 order issuit	ng
15	stay. In that order, the Court deferred to the jurisdiction of the District Court for the District	of
16	Maryland under principles of federal comity and stayed the disposition of the pending motion	ıS
17	in this matter until the Maryland court has resolved the pending motions in its related case, H	ill
18	Rodriguez et al. v. BlueHippo Funding, LLC et al., 06-00750-WDQ. In addition, the Court	
19	stated that, in light of the stay of this matter, the hearing on the motions and the Case	
20	Management Conference were vacated.	
21	The Court intended to make clear that the entire matter, including discovery and all	
22	case-related deadlines, shall remain stayed until resolution of the motions in the related case.	
23	Principles of comity and efficiency so dictate.	
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
25	1, 0, Ja	
26	Dated: August 9, 2006  JEFFREY S. WHITE	
27	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDG	зE